



Freedom of Information Request Reference N°: FOI 003940-19

I write in connection with your request for information received by Suffolk and Norfolk Constabularies on 1 November 2019 which you sought access to the following information:

“How many road accidents occurred (i.e. where individuals were injured / at risk of injury) due to their eye sight / wrong eye prescription (i.e. contact lenses or glasses) between 2009 – 2019?

“If the data does not stretch that far back please share results from when they are available. Please break down the figures in an excel file that lists results by month and year.”

Response to your Request

The response provided below is correct as of 1 November 2019

Suffolk and Norfolk Constabularies have considered your request for information and the response is below.

The Constabularies are unable to confirm with any degree of accuracy the number of road traffic collisions that occurred, which resulted in no injuries being sustained i.e. damage only RTCs, and a contributory factor was recorded as uncorrected/defective eyesight. The Constabularies Road Collision Support Team records all RTCs however, the contributory factors are contained within a freetext field which is not searchable without reviewing all RTCs recorded for the time frame specified.

Given the many hundreds of RTCs that are recorded by either Constabulary in any one year, it would take well in excess of 36 hours to provide the information requested in full.

In relation to your request for information and in accordance with Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), this response serves as a formal notification of refusal for your request. The Constabularies do not hold, for the purposes of FOIA, all of the information you require in a retrievable format.

It is estimated that to attempt to retrieve all of the information you require would take a considerable amount of retrieval time, exceeding the appropriate limit for dealing with Freedom of Information requests in terms of costs and therefore, Section 12(1) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 applies.

Section 12(1) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 states that a Public Authority is not obliged to: "...comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit." The Freedom of Information (Appropriate Limit and Fees) Regulations, defines the 'appropriate limit' for the Constabularies as £450 and specifies that this sum equates to 18 hours work per force at a standard rate of £25 per hour. This equates to 36 hours of work, or £900, for joint responses.

In accordance with Section 17(5) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, this letter serves as a refusal notice for this part of your request.

By requesting all information your request is too broad to be complied with within the limit imposed on Freedom of Information requests.

Although excess cost removes the Force's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act, as a gesture of goodwill, I have supplied information, relative to your request, retrieved or available before it was realised that the fees limit would be exceeded. I trust this is helpful, but it does not affect our legal right to rely on the fees regulations for the remainder of your request.

The Constabularies Road and Armed Policing department maintains a record of all RTCs that have resulted in injury. The tables provided below confirms all injury RTCs recorded where uncorrected/defective eyesight has been recorded as a contributory factor.

Please note however, that the contributory factors recorded are based on the Officer's opinion at the time of the collision and so it may transpire at a later date that eye sight was not a factor in the collision.

*2019 data relates to time frame 1 January – 30 September

Norfolk:

| Month | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019* |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| January | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| February | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| March | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| April | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| June | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| July | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| August | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| September | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| October | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| November | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| December | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 4 |

Suffolk:

| Month | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019* |
|--------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| January | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| February | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| March | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| April | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| May | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| June | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| August | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| September | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| October | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| November | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| December | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 4 |

Should you have any further queries concerning this request, please contact Clair Pack, FOI Decision Maker, quoting the reference number shown above.

A full copy of the Freedom of Information Act (2000) can be viewed on the 'Office of Public Sector Information' web-site;
<http://www.opsi.gov.uk/>

Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies are not responsible for the content, or the reliability, of the website referenced. The Constabulary cannot guarantee that this link will work all of the time, and we have no control over the availability of the linked pages.

Your Right to Request a Review of Decisions Made Under the Terms of the
Freedom of Information Act (2000).

If you are unhappy with how your request has been handled, or if you think the decision is incorrect, you have the right to ask the Norfolk and Suffolk Constabulary to review their decision.

Ask Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies to look at the decision again.

If you are dissatisfied with the decision made by Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies under the Freedom of Information Act (2000), regarding access to information, you must notify the Norfolk and Suffolk Constabulary that you are requesting a review within 20 days of the date of its response to your Freedom of Information request. Requests for a review should be made in writing and addressed to:

*Freedom of Information Decision Maker
Information Management Department
Suffolk Constabulary
Police Headquarters
Martlesham Heath
Ipswich
Suffolk
IP5 3QS
OR
Email: information@suffolk.pnn.police.uk*

In all possible circumstances Norfolk and Suffolk Constabulary will aim to respond to your request for us to look at our decision again within 40 working days of receipt of your request for an internal review.

The Information Commissioner.

After lodging a request for a review with Norfolk and Suffolk Constabulary, if you are still dissatisfied with the decision, you can apply to the Information Commissioner for a decision on whether the request for information has been dealt with in accordance with the requirements of the Act.

For information on how to make application to the Information Commissioner please visit their website at www.ico.org.uk or contact them at the address shown below:

The Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
Telephone: 01625 545 700